

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/039,355	01/03/2002	Kenneth Michael Kapulka	SJO920010044US1	9782
75	90 10/18/2004	•	EXAM	IINER
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			LE, DIEU MINH T	
Third Floor Two North Market Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Jose, CA 95113		2114		

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



X/

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/039,355	KAPULKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dieu-Minh Le	2114				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ma	<u>arch 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.		•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-16</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 17-45</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
· ·		to by the Examiner				
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 January 2002</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>	priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 110(a)	(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	🗖					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2114

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is response to the communication filed on 03/22/04 in application 10/039,355.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35

 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any—inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned

Art Unit: 2114

at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1-10 and 17-45 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kern et al. (U.S. Patent 5,615,329 hereafter referred to as Kern) in view of Beardsley et al. (U.S. Patent 5,771,367 hereafter referred to as Beardsley).

As per claim 1:

Kern substantially teaches the invention. Ault teaches:

- A method for transparently recovering from a coupling facility failure [abstract, fig. 1, col. 8, line 67 through col. 9, line 8], comprising steps of:
- following the failure of a coupling facility, preventing access to said coupling facility [col. 9,

lines 13-20 and lines 33-40, and col. 20, lines 5-8;

- determining which data was previously assigned to said coupling facility [col. 11, lines 10-21];

Art Unit: 2114

- selecting a new storage location for said data previously assigned to said coupling facility [fig. 11 and 14, col. 17, lines 57 through col. 18, line 9]; - assigning said data previously assigned to said coupling facility to a new storage location [fig. 14, col. 18, lines 22-36].

Kern does not explicitly teach:

- performed without requiring preallocation of white space in said new storage location prior to said failure of said coupling facility.

However, Kern does disclose capability of:

- A real time data shadowing system including first and second DASD [abstract, fig. 1, col. 19, lines 20-30] comprising capability of:
- error detection and recovery process within DASD
 [col. 3, lines 1-15];

- real time data update and shadowing due to memory
failure of duplexing system [col. 2, lines 60-61 and
col. 3, lines 17-26].

In addition, Beardsley explicitly teaches:

Art Unit: 2114

- A method for improved failure recovery of memory storage [abstract, fig. 2, col. 3, lines 23-31]; comprising:

- a means for directing the recovered data to second memory and means for allocating data to be stored in memory due to failure [col. 7, line 57-67].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to first realizing Kern's real time data update and shadowing due to memory failure of duplexing system as being the performed without requiring preallocation of white space in said new storage location prior to said failure of said coupling facility as claimed by Applicant. This is because Kern perform data failure detection and recovery in real time shadowing without requiring preallocation of white space memory location; second, by applying the means for directing the recovered data to second memory and means for allocating data to be stored in memory-due-to-failure as taught by Beardsley in conjunction with real time data shadowing system including first and second DASD as disclosed by Kern t in order to enhance the memory storage performance, more specifically to ensuring

Art Unit: 2114

the memory location error detected, corrected, and replaced in proper and efficient manner. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so to improve the memory location, memory access, memory availability and memory integrity.

As per claims 2-4:

Kern further teaches:

- recovering from said coupling facility failure in a parallel sysplex configuration [col. 8, line 67 through col. 9, line 7];
- obtaining serialization on a cache control structure of said coupling facility to prevent said access to said coupling facility [col. 9, line 33-40];
- analyzing said cache control structure of said coupling facility to determine which of said data was previously assigned to said coupling facility [col. 9, lines 54-62].

In addition, Beardsley further explicitly teaches:

- a means for directing the recovered data to second memory and means for allocating data to be stored in memory due to failure [col. 7, line 57-67].

Art Unit: 2114

As per claims 5-6:

Kern further teaches:

- serialization stops any read or write access to said coupling facility and prevents the assignment of new data to said coupling facility [col. 9, lines 22-40]; - performing a nominate cache process to select said new storage location for said data previously assigned to said coupling facility [[fig. 11 and 14, col. 17, lines 57 through col. 18, line 9].

As per claim 7-10:

Kern substantially teach the invention. Ault teaches:

- A method for transparently recovering from a coupling facility failure [abstract, fig. 1, col. 8, line 67 through col. 9, line 8], comprising steps of:
- invalidating buffers associated with said data
 previously assigned to said coupling facility [col.
 18, lines 10-22]
- releasing said serialization on said cache control structure of said coupling facility such that read or write attempts to said coupling facility will prompt an internal retry which directs said read or write

Art Unit: 2114

attempts to said new storage location [col. 9, lines 54-62 and col. 11, lines 10-21];

- providing notification that a replacement for said failed coupling facility is available [fig. 11 and 14, col. 8, lines 51-63];

Kern does not explicitly teach:

- moving a control structure of said data previously assigned to said coupling facility to a cache control structure representing said new storage location.

However, Kern does disclose capability of:

- A real time data shadowing system including first and second DASD [abstract, fig. 1, col. 19, lines 20-30] comprising capability of:
- error detection and recovery process within DASD
 [col. 3, lines 1-15];
- real time data update and shadowing due to memory failure of duplexing system [col. 2, lines 60-61 and col. 3, lines 17-26].

- data mover in primary and secondary system structured to assign data reside within memory

Art Unit: 2114

location [fig. 4, col. 9, lines 43-62 and col. 18, lines 23-36].

In addition, Beardsley explicitly teaches:

- A method for improved failure recovery of memory storage [abstract, fig. 2, col. 3, lines 23-31]; comprising:
 - a means for directing the recovered data to second memory and means for allocating data to be stored in memory due to failure [col. 7, line 57-67].
 - a means for allocating data, destaging data, modifying data, scanning data, converting data, suspending data, and updating data [fig. 6 and 7, col. 6, lines 3-67].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to applying the means for allocating data, destaging data, modifying data, scanning data, converting data, suspending data, and updating data as taught by Beardsley in conjunction with data mover in primary and secondary system structured to assign data reside within memory location in real time data shadowing system

Art Unit: 2114

including first and second DASD as disclosed by Kern t in order to support the data replacement correctly. That is by utilizing of the combining Kerna and Beardsley approaches, the memory storage can be maximized in utilization in turn it will increase memory availability and performance. In addition, memory data access to and from memory location can be optimized for data process and operation.

As per claims 17-26:

These claims are the same as per claims 1-10. The only minor different is that these claims are directed to a computer readable medium instead of the method for transparently recovering from a coupling facility failure described in claim 1-10. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to realized that a computer readable medium is a necessary item for such computer processing networking system, more specifically, a coupling facility or dual/duplex computing devices. Since the data storage obviously-needs a means for instruction or code means resided within the computer readable medium for performing the data failure detection/correction, data storing, receiving, transmitting operation. Therefore, these claims

Art Unit: 2114

are also rejected under the same rationale applied against claims 1-10.

As per claims 27-35:

Due to the similarity of claims 27-35 to claims 1-10 except for a parallel sysplex computer system comprising capabilities of processing coupling facility, preventing access, selecting a new storage location, etc... instead of method for transparently recovering from a coupling facility failure comprising steps of processing coupling facility, preventing access, selecting a new storage location, etc...; therefore, these claims are also rejected under the same rationale applied against claims 1-10. In addition, all of the limitations have been noted in the rejection as per claims 1-10.

As per claims 36-45:

These claims are similar to 1-10. The only minor different is that claim 36 introduced a limitation of "preallocation of white space in an alternate coupling facility" instead of "preallocation of white space in a new storage location" as described in claim 1 since the alternate facility intuitively can be realized as the new storage location. Therefore, these claims are also rejected under the same rationale applied against claims 1-10. In addition, all of the limitations have been noted in the rejection as per claims 1-10.

Art Unit: 2114

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 11-16 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expired THREE (3) months, ZERO days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to be abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dieu-Minh Le whose telephone number is (703)305-9408 [NOTE: After approximately October 15, 2004, I can be reached at the new number (571) 272-3660]. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (703)305-9713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DIEU-MINH THAI LE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2114

DML 10/14/04